UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,838	03/04/2004	Johan Henric Robert Blix	blix5135	3863	
29556 WHITE, REDV	7590 06/15/2007 WAY AND BROWN LLP	•	EXAMINER		
1217 KING STREET			GILBERT, WILLIAM V		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3635		
		•			
			MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE	
		<i>.</i>	06/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Notice of Non-Compliant	10/791,838	BLIX ET AL.		
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit		
	William V. Gilbert	3635		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address		
The amendment document filed on <u>19 March 2007</u> is co requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the an item(s) is required.				
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include B. New paragraph(s) should not be unde C. Other	markings	BE NON-COMPLIANT:		
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37B. Other	7 CFR 1.72.	·		
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 (B. The practice of submitting proposed downward showing amended figures, without material C. Other 	CFR 1.121(d). rawing correction has been elimin	ated. Replacement drawings		
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the control of the listing of claims does not include the control of the listing of each claim cannot be identified. Not number by using one of the following: (Previously presented), (New), (Not end of the claims of this amendment paper the continuation of the claims. ✓ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	the text of all pending claims (incluing the proper status identifier, and abote: the status of every claim mus status identifiers: (Original), (Currentered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	as such, the individual status it be indicated after its claim ently amended), (Canceled), wn-currently amended).		
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or n	ot signed in accordance with 37 C	FR 1.4):		
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.				
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTIC	CE:			
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-co filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 	t the non-compliant after-final ame	al amendment or an amendment endment with corrections, the		
2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, where correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of (including a submission for a request for continued amendment filed within a suspension period under 3 Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are chested in the compliance with 37 CF	of the following: a preliminary ament examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an ame ecked, the correction required is on	ndment, a non-final amendment 1.114), a supplemental rendment filed in response to a		
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR amendment or an amendment filed in response to	1.136(a) <u>only</u> if the non-compliant o a <i>Quayle</i> action.	amendment is a non-final		
Abandonment of the application if the non-co filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-complanement.	mpliant amendment is a non-final			

Telephone No.

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Applicant submitted amended claims 1-20. The initial action from the Examiner dated 19 December 2006 addressed Claims 1-22 (i.e. Applicant should note the status of Claims 21 and 22.) Also Applicant did not properly note the changes to the claims persuant to MPEP §714 (i.e. strike through for deletions such as, for example, Claim 5, line 3, "pendelum" should have a strikethrough.